

«Көз ушында», «көз илмеу» - has meaning *far*; «көзи қыймау» - in the sense of love and not wanting to give her. If the main anatomical function of the eye is vision, then in these phraseological units in the first and second examples, the phraseology is formed from the function of vision, and in the third example, it means that the eye is considered one of the most valuable human organ. The somatic function that phraseological units perform comes directly from the anatomical functions of organs.

Word masters use phraseological units for accurate, concise presentation of ideas in works of art. The writer Sh. Seitov managed to find the center of phraseology, enrich the language of the work and create touching images for the readership.

Phraseological combinations in the works of Sh. Seitov perform various artistic functions, that is, they

show the author's unique style in revealing the characters of the characters, in describing events, relationships between people, and the internal psychological state in emotionally expressive colors.

In conclusion we can note that phraseological units are the main means that indicate the national color and richness of the vocabulary of this language.

REFERENCES

1. Ш. Сейтов. Шырашылар. «Қарақалпақстан», Нукус – 1986
2. Қожақметова Х. Фразеологизмдердің көркем әдебиетте қолданылуы. Алматы, 1972
3. Ешбаев Ж. Қарақалпақ тилинің қысқаша фразеологиялық сөзлігі— Нөкіс: 1985 ж.

SYNONYMIC FEATURES OF POSPOSITIONS IN AZERBAIJANI LANGUAGE

Aytan Arzulla Ahmadova
Ph.D on philology
Ganja State University

Ахмадова Айтан Арзулла
Кандидат филологических наук
Гянджинский Государственный Университет

SUMMARY

Postpositions give words a new meaning, which is due to their internal lexical meaning. From this point of view, the postpositions may also reflect various semisological phenomena, one of which is synonymy. Synonyms of postpositions are not equal with synonym of independent lexical meaning. The synonym of the postposition is not based on the independent lexical meaning, where functional synonym is derived. In this regard, the synonym of the postpositions is determined by its pure functional-grammatical position. The article explores the synonymic properties of likeness postpositions “*kimi, qədər, tək*”(like, as), direction postpositions “*tərəf, doğru, sağ, qarşı*”(to, towards), distance postpositions “*kimi, qədər, -dək, -cən, -cən*”(till), affiliation postpositions “*aid, dair, məxsus, haqqında, barəsində*”(belong, about, related).

РЕЗЮМЕ

Послелогои придають словам нове значення, що обумовлено їх внутрішнім лексическим значенням. С цієї точки зрення послелогои також можуть відображати різні півсологічні явища, одним з яких є синонімія. Синоніми послелогов не можуть бути синонімами незалежного лексического значення. Синонім послелогоа не оснований на незалежному лексическому значенні, здець в основному маєть ввиду функціональний синонім. В зв'язі з цим синонім послелогов визначається с его чисто функціонально-грамматическим значенням. В статті досліджують синонімічні свойства послелогов, визначають сходство “*kimi, qədər, tək*” («Как», «подобно», «наподобие», «такой же, как»), визначають направление “*tərəf, doğru, sağ, qarşı*” (в, на), визначають расстояние “*kimi, qədər, -dək, -cən, -cən*” («до»), визначають принадлежность “*aid, dair, məxsus, haqqında, barədə (barəsində)*” (о, обо).

Key words: postpositions, likeness, distance, direction, shades, synonyms

Ключевые слова: послелогои, сходство, расстояние, направление, оттенки, синонимы

Introduction

The colorful and rich relationship between grammatical meaning and grammatical form manifests itself, one of which is synonymy. Synonym is a broad language fact, encompassing all language units that have a certain form and meaning. Of course, not only lexical, but grammatical meanings should also be taken into account. Therefore, synonyms should not be considered merely lexical words. In this context, the definitions of synonyms are often not accurate. For example, "Synonym is a semasiological event that calls for and distinguishes reality by various forms and associated with the same thing, event, sign or

movement" (4,7), what is missing from this view is that only lexical words are meant here. However, the auxiliary parts of the speech also have synonyms. Therefore, when speaking of forms and content relationships in words, including synonyms, it is important to note the general grammatical features that include both lexical and grammatical meanings.

Every word used in the communication process is an expression of thought to some extent or another. The auxiliary parts of the speech play a role not only in linking words with each other, in forming different grammatical meanings, and in creating certain lexical-semantic meanings. In this respect, the locations of the

auxiliary parts of speech are determined by their grammatical function, postpositions adds some meaning to the word or sentence that it belongs to. The use of auxiliary parts of speech in a specific syntactic environment is directly related to this feature.

Within the auxiliary parts of the speech, the postposition differs from the others by its specific features, as it adds a certain meaning to the word attached. In addition to the word, it acts as a member of the sentence and can also take on some grammatical formulas. These features are related to the fact that the postpositions have certain logical meanings compared to other auxiliary parts, it means, although postpositions do not mean lexical separately, they still hold relevant meaning.

Note that although other auxiliary parts of the speech have different types of meanings, in fact it is a functional division that is not related to meaning, because conjunction and moderations either execute or reinforce any meaning in a word or sentence. The postpositions give them a new meaning, which stems from their internal lexical meaning. From this point of view, the postpositions may also reflect various semisological phenomena, one of which is synonymy.

Obviously, synonyms of postpositions are not same with synonyms of the words with independent lexical meaning. The synonym of the postposition is not based on the independent lexical meaning, where functional synonym is derived. In this regard, the synonym of the postpositions is determined by its pure functional-grammatical position. (16, 9).

The combination of postpositions in different meaning groups is based on the fact that they are synonymous. Although postpositions in these groups are usually interchangeable, this is not always the case. This is due to the origin of these postpositions, the peculiarity of the meaning group, the characteristic of the words they join, and so on. This difference can be seen clearly when comparing different groups of meanings.

Synonyms of postpositions

1. Synonym postpositions that express similarity. They are postpositions such as "like, as" ("kimi, qədər, tək"). These postpositions that related with words in nominativ and genitive can sometimes be used interchangeably. For instance: *As you can see, these salty deserts should be as green as our developing villages* (S.Əhmədov).

The "as" (kimi) in this sentence can be substituted with the synonyms mentioned (*qədər, tək*). But the postposition "qədər" is not successful as others due to replacement ability. Even in most cases this replacement is not possible. For instance: *Gulqaz preferred not to argue as (kimi) her mother did* (M.İbrahimov).

In this example, the postposition "kimi" can be substituted for postposition "tək", but this rule does not apply to postposition "qədər". Postpositions "kimi" and "qədər" are synonyms. But there is some differences between their meaning. Thus, if postposition "kimi" means a qualitative comparison, postposition "qədər" compares quantitatively. (15, 117). In our opinion, we should look for the reason for the lexical-semantic

meanings of the lexical units in which these words are isolated. Thus, although there are different opinions about the origin of the postposition "kimi", we consider the conclusion of Zeynalov more accurate. According to the author, the word is similar to the word "kip" (tight). This idea comes from M. Kashgari's work "Divanu Lugat - it-Turk". Here the word "kip" has two meanings: mold and similar (7, 302).

So, the same word used in our language today is taken from the appropriate version of the word "kip" and that is why as postposition it gives compare meaning to the word attached. The postposition "qədər" is of Arabic origin and means quantitative. Because the quantity itself also has a concept of quality, these words can be interchangeable in the postposition function. However, the initial semantics distinguish them from certain situations.

As for the third word "tək" isə Researchers point to the fact that it is used in the form of an "tağ" (arch) in ancient monuments in two ways: 1. Similar, appropriate, 2. *To touch* (18, 428). A. Rajabov notes that this word is used in several meanings in the monuments of the Gokturk: 1. Comparing, 2. Time, 3. Last place, 4. similarity (12, 443-444). As you can see, this word is used in the modern language to mean both postpositions "tək" and "dək" (like, as). First of these is synonym of postposition "kimi". Therefore, alternatives "kimi" and "tək", which have historically been the same, have a higher chance of replacement. From this point of view, it is possible to easily use "tək" instead of the suffix "kimi" in the above two different sentences.

It should also be noted that in modern spoken language, as well as in oral folk literature works—*postposition "tək" is also used in the form "təki".* The famous couplet of M.P.Vaqif "Günəş təki hər çıxanda səhərdən" ("Every morning as the sun rises") also gives a basis for this statement. In some modern dialects, even "təkin", "dəkin" (like, as) forms are used (1, 26).

Interestingly, some researchers also point out that the postposition "təki" has time meaning. Q.Kazımov *along with "tək" presents postposition "təki" separately, notes that they are synonyms signifying compare, similarity and time meanings and references I.Malikzadeh with such sample: Ayaq səsləri eşidən təki gözlərini açdı* (She opened her eyes as she heard foot steps) (8, 340). However, it would not be appropriate to present this form as an independent postposition. In our opinion, this form is a dialect variant of the postposition "tək".

Note that in our language, there is also postposition "ca" that this morpheme, which is homonym, gives different meanings to the word. This postposition connects with nouns in genitive, dative case and creates different meanings with different cases. The linguistic literature shows that this postposition is synonymous with various postpositions. Firstly this postposition is synonymous with the morphem "ilə" (along). Məsələn: *Nə gözəldir yol boyunca söyüdlər* (How beautiful willow trees along the way). (S.Rustam). We can replace postposition "ca" with morphem "ilə" (along).

By the way, there is no exact idea about the word

“boyunca” (along) in Turkology, including Azerbaijani linguistics. Some researchers consider this word as a postposition. (5, 97). Turkmen and Uzbek researchers also use this word as a postposition. (21, 487; 22, 497). A.N.Kononov calls this word “postposition-name”. (17, 326). F.Zeynalov accepts it as postposition. He wrote about it: “the postposition “Boyunca” (along) requires the word preceding it be in indeterminate genitive case. It reflects a number of syntactic relationships after its merger. This postposition creates an equally active relationship both between names, and names and verbs.”(15, 93).

It is well-known that in our language there are words such as “təkcə, birəcə” (one, only) that act as moderation, both in whole and in the form of the second component. However, there is no such fact in the postpositions. That is, the fact that the two postpositions come together and create a new complement does not appeal to us. Thus, researchers who use the word “boyunca” (along) as a postposition also consider the word “boyu” (along the way) a postposition. When it comes to the word “boyunca” which is associated with a particular word, here “boy” is the root of the word, *-u* affixe affiliation, *-n*-connecting consonant, *-ca* is postposition. Because no matter what they think, that word retains the figurative meaning of the original semantics.

In the second case postposition *-ca*² acts as synonym of the postpositions “*kimi, qədər*” (like, as) which means comparison. It is fact that postpositions “*kimi, qədər*” relate to nouns in nominative and genitive cases, while postposition *-ca*² relates to only nominative case. For instance: *Dəryaca ağıln olsun // Kasıb olsan, gülərlər* (Although you had the mind up to the sea.// If you are poor, you will be ridiculed) (bayati). Here we can replace postposition *-ca* with “*qədər*”.

Finally, postposition *-ca*² acts as synonym of postposition “*görə*” (according) in the third case. In this case, that postposition creates the basic, referenced content. For instance: *İsmin quruluşca üç növü var* (There are three types of noun according to its structure); *Feilin mənacə növləri zəngindir* (the types of verb according to its meaning are rich) and etc. Need to say, the content of this postposition is ineffective compared to others.

2. Synonyms of distance postpositions. It includes postpositions “*kimi, qədər, -dək, -can, -cən*”. The first three of these postpositions were announced shortly. However, it should be noted that the quantitative content of the postposition “*qədər*” can serve as a basis for the formation of both similar and distant postpositions. we can say the same about the postposition *-dək*; As mentioned above, this word has two meanings: 1. similar; 2. to touch. The first of these meanings is the basis for the creation of a comparative, comparable content, and the second, a distance-based postposition.

In Turkology, it is shown that the postposition *-can, -cən* has historically been derived from the word “*çağ*” (age). (15, 110). H. Mirzazade notes that the two variants of this postposition *-can/-caq* are worked out in parallel. (11, 199). On the other hand, it is noteworthy that the postposition was used in the

Azerbaijani language in the eighteenth century. (14, 331). It seems to us that there is a need to look for a connection between postpositions *-can, -cən(till)* and morphem “*tən*”. It is well-known that in our modern spoken language, along with the word “*evəcən*” (till home) we also use the word “*evətən*”. The word “*Tən*” means something equal in our language. In our opinion, this word contains both space and time distance in the sense of equality. Therefore, all four of these postpositions, which represent the distance content, are functionally and semantically synonymous with each other. For example: *Qonaqlar yeni əkilmiş sahəyə qədər* (*kimi, -dək, -cən*) *getdilər* (The guests went to the newly planted area); *Onlar axşama qədər* (*kimi, -dək, cən*) *çalışdılar.* (They worked until late at night)

3. Synonyms of direction postpositions. It includes postpositions “*tərəf, doğru, sari, qarşı*”. It is not difficult to imagine the initial semantic meaning in these words. The Arabic word “*tərəf*” refers to spatial content and is used in our language as both a noun and a postposition. In fact, sometimes, instead of the first word, the use of this word in the dative case (*evə tərəf – ev tərəfə*) is also related to the meaning. The word is used as a noun, either as a combination (*bizim tərəf, hər tərəf...*) or as a combination of words (*tərəflər razılığa gəldilər*), which have different meanings. Note that as a postposition, this word already has a different lexical meaning in relation to another word, or rather, it adds directional meaning that is directly related to the original meaning, that is, the meaning of place.

In this synonymic sequence, both “*doğru*” and “*sari*” (to) postpositions have spatial content in their initial semantics. Bu məzmun həmin sözlərin həm birinci, həm ikinci komponenti ilə bağlıdır. This content is related to both the first and the second components of these words. As is well known, historically, the Turkic languages have been affix with the direction content- *qaru, -qəri, -ru, -rü* (19, 281-282). This affix was presented as dative case affix. This affix participates in the formation of the concept of place orientation in the context of the direction, and the relevant words derived in this way are also active in our modern language. The words “*Ora, bura, içəri, geri*” (here, there, in, back) are of this kind. In some Turkic works, postpositions of this type are referred to as “*-ra, -ru*” adverb group postpositions. (20, 495).

Although there are different views on the etymology of the postposition “*doğru*”(to) most turkologists are of the opinion that the word meant “to touch”. Researchers note that this postposition does not exist in ancient Turkic monuments (13, 36), but the fact is that the relevant adjective is used in these monuments (9, 106). In our opinion, both the lexical unit with the spatial content and the directional affix are combined and strength. Therefore, the postposition formed from that word forms exactly the directional content.

However, it is important to say that the concept of direction itself is quite meaningful, and in different semantic shades the direction can act as general content, where space and time are leading. burada məkan və zaman aparıcı yerə malikdir. The same applies to the postposition “*doğru*”. Thus, this suffix forms temporal meanings when connects with words

expressing time. For example: *Axşama doğru hava soyumağa başladı.* (By evening, the air began to cool.) Unless the postposition is used here, there may be inaccuracies in the contents of the sentence, or when used without the postposition and case affix, there may be differences in the content, therefore, the postposition at this point serves to clarify the timing.

The synonym of “*Sarı*” (to) in the same context is also related to the origin of the word. In our modern language, this postposition has space content. There are different opinions in this regard in Turkology, but I think that Zeynalov's judgment according to V.V.Radlov is more logical. According to the author, the postposition “*sonra*” has historically been the product of the combination of pronoun “*sən*” (you) and the morpheme –*ru* which means direction.

This postposition participates in the creation of other meanings in the modern spoken language, especially in the dialects; thus, creates reason, purpose motives connecting with nouns in instrumental case and acts as a synonym of postpositions “*yana, ötrü*”, sometimes “*görə*” (for). For example: *Mən uşaxdan sarı gəlmişəm* (I have come for the child); *Görrəm çox darıxırsan uşaxlardan sarı* (I see that you are missing for children) (10, 22).

One of the postpositions with directional content is the word “*qarşı*” (to, against). When referring to this postposition, it is referred to as one of the postpositions pointing towards a particular object (15, 119). This word was formed in Turkish later as well as in the Azerbaijani language. For most Turkologists, the word has historically been derived from the verb “*bax*” (watch).

This word, which differs from previous postpositions in terms of origin, is also differs for synonymous specialities. Researchers note that this postposition creates different meanings: a) expresses the content of competition without any contradictions; b) denotes direction-oriented content without any conflict; c) express the exact opposite; d) represents the content of the competition; d) denotes conflicting referral content (6, 225-226). Some Turkic languages are even mentioned as expressing time and an abstract concept (15, 121). In some works, however, it is shown that the postposition created only the content of confrontation and conflict (8, 341).

From these explanations it appears that the postposition “*qarşı*” is synonymous with other postpositions mentioned in the directional content. However, it should be noted that expressing “direction-orientation without any contradiction” of the postposition “*qarşı*” coupling of differs from that of others involving the directional content. In other postpositions, the directional content is usually linked to space. Therefore, the first three postpositions can replace each other. For example: *Sadiq əsəbi halda qapıya doğru (sarı, tərəf) addımladı* (Sadiq nervously walked towards the door.) (H.Ibrahimov).

Here are some examples of the fact that postposition “*qarşı*” means direction: *Maya Pərşanın sözlərində Şirzadə qarşı qaribə bir ərk və tənə olduğunu hiss etdi* (Maya felt reproof towards Shirzad in the words of Parshan) (M.Ibrahimov); *Şirzadənin*

Salatına sərt cavab verməsi Rüstəm kişiyyə xoş gəldi, ona qarşı hörmətini artırdı (Shirzad's tough response to Salatın satisfied Rustam and increased his respect for him. (M.Ibrahimov) (6, 225-226).

As you can see, none of the examples have spatial content, but there is an abstract directional content. In this respect, the previous three postpositions cannot replace this postposition in that position. It is no coincidence that although the first three postpositions were presented as synonyms in language history works, the postposition “*qarşı*” is not mentioned in the line, this postposition is only learned as a contradictory and reciprocal postposition. (11, 196; 14, 330).

Thus, the four postpositions presented as synonyms in terms of direction cannot be considered completely synonymous with the meaning that they have joined; thus while “*tərəf, doğru, sarı*” (to, towards) are synonymous with both functional and substitute meaning, the synonyms of “*qarşı*” are not complete. Although they are functionally synonymous with a group, they cannot be synonymous with semantics.

4. Postpositions which means possession. It includes “*aid, dair, məxsus, haqqında, barədə (barəsində)*” (belong, related, about). Although these words are combined under one heading, they cannot be considered synonymous with each other. The first three are presented as reference postpositions in grammar books. Postpositions “*aid, dair, məxsus*” are of Arabic origin and have been observed in our language lately. The relationship between these postpositions is not the same as the words they communicate. Since the postpositions “*aid*” və “*dair*” have a more abstract concept, it is easy to replace them as synonyms. For example: *Torpaqların kəndlilərə paylanmasına dair(aid) sənədlər tapılmaq bilmirdi.* (Documents related to the distribution of land to peasants could not be found) (M. Hussein).

Apparently, these postpositions cannot be replaced by “*məxsus*” (belong to). In fact, in other cases the postposition “*məxsus*” can be synonymous with these postpositions separately, although it is not possible to completely replace them. For example: *Kərim baba uşaqlara məxsus bir təbəssümlə cavab verdi* (Grandfather Karim responded with smile belong to children) (M.Hussein).

In this example, it is not even possible to think of postposition “*dair*” instead of “*məxsus*”. As you can see, the word “*məxsus*” is also explained by the word “*aid*”. We also find this fact in our Explanatory Dictionary of the Azerbaijani language: “*Dair prep.* About, related, belong to.” (2, 518), or: “*Məxsus adj.* 1.belong to only one”(3, 328). Note that the ability to substitute for “*aid*” and “*məxsus*” is to preserve their potential lexical meaning. The fact is that they are even involved in word creativity. Thus, sözündən the words “*aidiyyət, aidiyyətli, aidiyyəti, aidlik*” were derived from the word “*aid*”, sözündən isə the words “*məxsusən, məxsusi, məxsusiyyət*” were derived from the word “*məxsus*”.

Note that if the postposition “*məxsus*” is associated with both concrete and abstract concepts, postpositions “*dair, aid*” are mainly related to the

abstract concept. On the other hand, while postpositions “məxsus” and “aid” have content of belonging, postposition “dair” is more likely to reflect the content of the word “about.” We have seen this in the previous explanation. Therefore, it is usually not possible to substitute postposition “dair” with others.

Result

As postpositions are quantitative and qualitatively rich, they have the potential to be synonymous.

Synonyms of postpositions are distinguished by their productivity. Thus, the postpositions are divided into different groups of meanings, each of which has several postpositions. As postpositions in the same group serve to create the same tones, they alternate and create a synonymic line. Obviously, the chances of replacing each other in the same meaning group are not the same. Here we are talking only about the more productive.

References

1. Abdullayeva S. A. Language of Azerbaijani tales and epics. Baku: ADD, 2006, 26 p.
2. Explanatory dictionary of the Azerbaijani language, I volume, Baku: Şərq-Qərb, 2006, 744 p.
3. Explanatory dictionary of the Azerbaijani language, III volume, Baku: Şərq-Qərb, 2006, 672 p.
4. A dictionary of synonyms of the Azerbaijani language. Baku: Yazıçı, 1990, 472 p.
5. Jafarov S. On the case study of the turning to postpositions of nouns in modern Azerbaijani language / teaching methodology of linguistics subjects. Baku: API publication, 1987, p.86-93
6. Huseynzadeh M. Modern Azerbaijan language. Part III, morphology, Baku: Şərq-Qərb, 2007, 280 p.
7. Kashgari M. Diwan Lughat al-Turk. IV volume, Baku: Ozan, 2006, 752 p.
8. Kazımov G. Modern Azerbaijani language, morphology. Baku: Elm və təhsil, 2010, 400 p.
9. Dictionary of ancient Turkic monuments. Baku: API publication, 1992, 128 p.
10. Manafov N.R., Behbudov J.M. Auxiliary speeches in Azerbaijani dialects and accent. Baku, 1992, 85 p.
11. Mirzazadeh H. Historical grammar of the Azerbaijani language. Baku: Maarif, 1990, 376 p.
12. Rajabli A. Morphology of Goyturk language. Baku: BU publication, 2002, 475 p.
13. Shukurov A. Historical grammar of the Azerbaijani language. Baku: API publication, 1981, 100 p.
14. Tanrıverdi A. Historical grammar of the Azerbaijani language. Baku: Elm və təhsil, 2010, 458 p.
15. Zeynalov F. Auxiliary parts of speech in modern Turkic languages. Baku: Maarif, 1971, 312 p.
16. İvanovna Q.İ. Syntactic synonyms in the Mari language. АКД, Yoşkar-Ola, 2011, 21 p.
17. Kononov. A.N. Grammar of the modern Uzbek literary language. WPI. AN SSSR, Moscow-Leningrad, 1960, 295 p.
18. Malov S.E. Monuments of ancient Turkic writing. Moscow-Leningrad, 1951, 92 p.
19. Serebrennikov B.A. About some problems of historical morphology of Turkic languages. – The structure and history of Turkic languages. M.: Наука, 1971, 211 p.
20. Comparative historical grammar of Turkic languages. Morphology. «Наука», Moscow, 1988, 560 p.
21. Nowadays Turkmen language, Ashgabat, 1960, 487 p.
22. Nowadays Turkmen language. Tashkent, 1957, 497 p.

СТРУКТУРА И СТИЛИСТИЧЕСКИЕ ОСОБЕННОСТИ ВОПРОСИТЕЛЬНЫХ ПРЕДЛОЖЕНИЙ АНГЛИЙСКОГО ЯЗЫКА

Попова Ирина Анатольевна

АННОТАЦИЯ

Данная статья посвящена рассмотрению структурно-стилистических особенностей вопросительных предложений в английском языке. Также в работе дается подробный обзор различных типов вопросов и обобщенных базовых стилистических особенностей вопросительных предложений.

ABSTRACT

This article is devoted to the structural and stylistic features of interrogative sentences in English. The paper also provides a detailed overview of various types of questions and generalized basic stylistic features of question sentences.

Ключевые слова: вопросительные предложения, общий, специальный, разделительный, альтернативный вопросы, косвенный вопрос, стилистика, форма.

Key words: question sentences, General, special, dividing, alternative questions, indirect questions, style, form.

Во все времена предложения в английском языке всегда привлекали внимание учёных-лингвистов. Это связано с тем, что в них содержатся базовые языковые аспекты, которые важны и необходимы для межличностных коммуникаций.

Нельзя не отметить то, что предложения делятся на несколько видов: повествовательные, побудительные, восклицательные и вопросительные, следовательно, стоит остановиться на них более подробно. Для начала необходимо сделать акцент на вопросительные предложения, которые запрашивают какую-либо