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ABSTRACT
An algorithm for constructing a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram involving fuzzy parameters with the optimal location of a finite number of generator points in a limited set of n-dimensional Euclidean space $E_n$ has been suggested in the paper. The algorithm has been developed based on the synthesis of methods of solving the problems of optimal set partitioning theory involving neurofuzzy technologies modifications of N.Z. Shor r-algorithm for solving nonsmooth optimization problems.
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Introduction. Currently, hundreds of literary sources on Voronoi diagrams and their application in various fields [1]. Voronoi diagrams for two- and three-dimensional spaces are used in many different areas of applied sciences. Quite apart from the fact that a large amount of known algorithms for constructing Voronoi diagrams of given finite $M$ sets of a plane (space) points, called generator points, have $O(|M| \log(|M|))$ complexity, all these algorithms are quite complicated. In addition, in practical problems the parameters of the Voronoi diagrams often may be fuzzy.

In the papers [2, 3], the algorithms for constructing a standard (classical) Voronoi diagram with deterministic parameters and various generalizations are suggested, based on the application of methods of optimal set partition (OSP) theory and having several advantages over the known ones, which are described in the scientific literature [1, 4, 5]. Particularly, they do not depend on the $E_n$ space dimension containing a limited set to be partitioned, which is independent of the geometry of the sets to be partitioned; the complexity of algorithms for plotting Voronoi diagrams based on the approach described above does not increase with the growing number of generator points; they can be used to construct not only Voronoi diagrams of a given number of generator points of fixed locations, but also with the optimal location of these points in a limited amount of $E_n$ space, and other advantages. The result of such a versatile approach is the ability to easily construct not only already known Voronoi diagrams but also the new ones.

The versatility of the approach proposed in studies [2, 3] in the construction of Voronoi diagrams is further supported by the fact that models and methods for solving continuous problems of optimal set partitioning can be generalized in the case of fuzzy initial parameters of the problem or a requirement of a fuzzy set partitioning, resulting in a fuzzy nature of Voronoi diagrams.

In this paper, there has been developed the algorithm of constructing a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram involving fuzzy parameters with optimal positioning of $N$ finite number of generator points in $\Omega$ limited set in $n$-dimensional $E_n (n \geq 2)$ Euclidean space. The algorithm has been developed based on the synthesis of methods of solving problems of the theory of OSP [7] with neuro-fuzzy technologies [8] and modifications of N.Z. Shor r-algorithm for solving non-smooth optimization problems [9, 10].

Problem setting. A classical Voronoi diagram of a finite set $M = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N\} \subseteq E_n$ of generator points $\tau_i = (\tau_i^{(1)}, \tau_i^{(2)}, \ldots, \tau_i^{(n)})^T$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_n (n \geq 2)$ is Voronoi polyhedra set and thermal boundary condition on Rayleigh-Benard convection of cold water near its maximum density // Int. J. Therm. Sci. - 2017. - V.120. - P.220-232.


$Vor(\tau_i) = \{x \in E_n: c(x, \tau_i) \leq c(x, \tau_j), \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, N, j \neq i \}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$

of seed points $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$, where $c_i(x, \tau_i)$ are functions of the distance between $x$ and $\tau_i$ points which are defined in $E_n$ as a Euclidean metric.

In a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram of the set $M = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N\} \subset E_n$

each Voronoi polyhedron

$MW\ Vor(\tau_i) = \{x \in E_n: c(x, \tau_i)/w_i \leq c(x, \tau_j)/w_j, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, N, j \neq i \}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$

(1)

represents a set of space, the weighted distance from which to the generator point $\tau_i \in M$ does not exceed the weighted distance to any other seed point ($w_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, are given weight coefficients).

Growing crystals is one of the illustrative ways of obtaining a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram [6]. When all crystals begin to grow at the same time but at different speeds, each $\tau_i \in M$ point gets a weight coefficient, and when measuring the distance to it, one needs to multiply the function that sets the distance by that weight coefficient.

Voronoi diagrams with fuzzy parameters appear, for example, when the weight coefficients of the two-point distance functions that determine the elements of the Voronoi diagram are fuzzy. Let us set for each $c_i(x, \tau_i)$ function of (1) a fuzzy weight $\tilde{w}_i$, which depends on external factors, which may also be fuzzy, and at the same time the type of this dependency is unknown in advance.

Then (1) shall be put down as follows

$MW\ Vor(\tau_i) = \{x \in E_n: c(x, \tau_i)/\tilde{w}_i \leq c(x, \tau_j)/\tilde{w}_j, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, N, j \neq i \}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$

(2)

For a mathematical formulation of a problem of constructing a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram with an optimal positioning of a finite number $N$ of generator points in $\Omega$ limited set of $n$ - dimensional Euclidean space $E_n$ ($n \geq 2$) on the basis of methods for solving OSP problems, let us eliminate the fuzziness in (2) by the neurolinguistic identification method of unknown complex nonlinear relationship of [8].

To apply the specified method in recovering values of fuzzy parameters $\tilde{w}_1, \ldots, \tilde{w}_N$, without limiting the generalization of considerations, let us denote their restored values as $w$ and consider the functional dependency of the identification object output $w$ from its inputs $y_1, \ldots, y_q$ as follows:

$w = w(y_1, \ldots, y_q),$

(3)

where $y_1, \ldots, y_q$ are the factors affecting $w$ and, as noted earlier, may also be fuzzy.

Having applied the method of neurolinguistic identification of unknown complex nonlinear relations developed in [8], we obtain the deterministic (clear) value of the original variable $w$, which is calculated by the following formulas:

$w = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{q} d_k \mu^*_{D_k}(w)}{\sum_{k=1}^{q} \mu^*_{D_k}(w)},$

(4)

$\mu^*_{D_k}(w) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{q} p_{i,j}^k(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_q), & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^{q} p_{i,j}^k(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_q) \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$

(5)

$p_{i,j}^k(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_q) = v_{i,j}^k \prod_{i=1}^{q} \mu^*_{i,j}(y_i),$

(6)

$\mu^*_{i,j}(y_i) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{y_i - b_{i,j}}{a_{i,j}}\right)^2}, i = 1, \ldots, q, j = 1, \ldots, s_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, L,$

(7)

where in formulas (4) - (7):

- $\mu^*_{D_k}(w)$ is the membership function of the original variable $w$ of $D_k$ class, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, L$, $L$ is the number of classes (linguistic terms) of the original variable $w$, $d_k$ is the centre of the class $D_k$;
- $p \ast_k^f (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k)$ are fuzzy production rules derived from expert and experimental information on dependency (6), $j$ is the rule number in $k$-class, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s_k$, $s_k$ - means the amount of rules in $k$-class; 
v \ast_j^k$ - weight of $j$-rule in $k$-class of output $w$;
$\mu \ast_j^k(y_i)$ is the bell-shaped function of $y_i$ variable membership in its linguistic term in $j$-rule of $k$-class of the output variable $w; b \ast_j^k$ is the coordinate of the maximum and $e \ast_j^k$ is the concentration coefficient of this membership function.

It should be noted that the value $v \ast_j^k$ - of the weights of the rules in (6) and the parameters $t \ast_j^k, e \ast_j^k$ of the membership function $(7)$ are marked by an asterisk as optimal ones, that is, the values obtained as a result of the parametric identification of the method of neurolinguistic identification, for which the deviation of the experimental data from the artificial data obtained after adjusting the fuzzy model of the object $(3)$ reaches its minimum. Values of $\mu \ast_k^d(w), p \ast_k^f(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k)$ and $\mu \ast_j^k(y_i)$ in $(4)$ - $(7)$ are calculated at optimal values of $v \ast_j^k$, $b \ast_j^k$, $e \ast_j^k$.

Thus, after restoring fuzzy parameter values $\bar{w}_1, \ldots, \bar{w}_N$ in $(2)$ using the described method of neurolinguistic identification, we obtain their recovered (crisp) values of $w_1, \ldots, w_N$.

We now formulate the mathematical setting of a problem of constructing a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram with the optimal placement of a finite number of $N$ generator points in $\Omega$ limited set of $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_n (n \geq 2)$ on the basis of methods of solving OSP problems and restored values of its fuzzy parameters.

Let us assume that $\Omega$ is a given bounded set of $E_n$, $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$ is a finite set of generator points in $\Omega$. In cases where the location of points $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$ in $\Omega$ is unknown and these must be placed (selected) in $\Omega$, another Voronoi diagram can be introduced on a set $\Omega \subset E_n$, that is, the Voronoi diagram of points optimally located in a finite set.

We will consider the following total of Voronoi polyhedra as a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram of a finite number $N$ of generator points $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$ in $\Omega \subset E_n$ limited set

$\text{MW Vor}(\tau_i) = \{x \in E_n; c(x, \tau_i)/w_i \leq c(x, \tau_j)/w_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N, j \neq i \}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N.$

of $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$ points optimally placed in a finite set, for which the total weighted distance from the points of $\Omega$ to the corresponding $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$ generator points is the smallest, that is, the functional

$J(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\text{Vor}(\tau_i)} c(x, \tau_i)/w_i \, dx$

takes on the minimum value.

The method for solving the problem. Let's develop an approach to constructing a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram of a finite number of generator points $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$, optimally placed in $\Omega \subset E_n$ finite set, with fuzzy parameters, based on the application of the mathematical apparatus of neurofuzzy technologies [8] and the OSP theory [7]. To do this, we must first formulate the corresponding continuous problem of optimal partitioning of the set of $E_n$ to subsets with unknown coordinates of some points characteristic of each subset, which are called the centres of subsets, being a generalization of the problem of [7].

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded Lebesgue set in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_n$. Let a total of Lebesgue measurable subsets $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N$ of $\Omega \subset E_n$ be called the possible division of the $\Omega$ set into non-intersecting subsets $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N$ if

$\bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i = \Omega, \text{mes}(\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j) = 0, \ i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \ (i \neq j),$

where $\text{mes}(\cdot)$ means Lebesgue measure.

Let $\Sigma^N_\Omega$ denote the class of all possible partitions of $\Omega$ set into non-intersecting subsets, $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N$ that is

$\Sigma^N_\Omega = \{ (\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N) : \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i = \Omega, \text{mes}(\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j) = 0, \ i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \ (i \neq j) \}.$

Let's introduce the functional

$F(\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N, \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N\}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_i} c(x, \tau_i)/w_i \, dx.$

Problem A. Find

$\min_{\{\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N, \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N\} \in \Sigma^N_\Omega}} F(\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N, \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N\}),$

where functional $F(\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N, \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N\})$ is represented in the form of (11); the $\tau_i^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau_i^{(n)}$ coordinates of centres of $\tau_i = (\tau_i^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau_i^{(n)}) \in \Omega_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.
1, 2, ..., \( N \), are unknown in advance and need to be
identified.

The \( (\{\Omega_1^*, \ldots, \Omega_N^*\}, \{\tau_1^*, \ldots, \tau_N^*\}) \) pair which
represents the minimum of functional (11) on \( \Sigma_1^N \times \Omega^N \)
set may be called an optimal solution of the Problem A. In this case, let us call \( \{\Omega_1^*, \ldots, \Omega_N^*\} \in \Sigma_1^N \) partition an
optimal partitioning of \( \Omega \subseteq \Omega^N \) set into \( N \) subsets, and \( \tau^* = (\tau_1^*, \ldots, \tau_N^*) \in \Omega^N \) total of \( \tau_i^* \in \Omega_i^*, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \)
centres - the optimal centres of \( \Omega_i^* \) subsets in problem A.

**Problem B.** Find

\[
\min_{(\lambda(\cdot), \tau) \in \Gamma \times \Omega^N} \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N (c(x, \tau_i)/w_i) \lambda_i(x) \, dx,
\]

where

\[
\Gamma = \{ \lambda(x) = (\lambda_1(x), \ldots, \lambda_N(x)) : \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i(x) = 1 \text{ almost everywhere (a.e.) for } x \in \Omega \}
\]

\[
\lambda_i(x) = 0 \vee 1 \text{ a.e. for } x \in \Omega, i = 1, \ldots, N \}; \quad \tau = \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N \in \Omega \times \ldots \times \Omega = \Omega^N
\]

For Problem B, in [7], we prove the following theorem 1, which establishes the optimal solution \((\lambda_i(\cdot), \tau_i)\).

**Theorem 1.** Components of a characteristic vector function \( \lambda_i(x) = (\lambda_{i1}(x), \ldots, \lambda_{iN}(x)) \) that matches the
optimal solution \((\Omega_{\cdot1}, \ldots, \Omega_{\cdoti}, \ldots, \Omega_{\cdotN})\) of the
Problem A for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) and almost all \( x \in \Omega \) are as follows:

\[
\lambda_{i_j}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } c(x, \tau_{i_j}) / w_j \leq c(x, \tau_{i_j}) / w_j, \\ i \neq j \text{ a.e. for } x \in \Omega, j = 1, \ldots, N, \text{ then } x \in \Omega_{i}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

the optimal solution to the problem, which is dual to problem B, is chosen as \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N \):

\[
G(\tau) = \int_\Omega \min_{i=1, \ldots, N} \left[ c(x, \tau_i) / w_i \right] dx \rightarrow \min_{\tau \in \Omega^N}.
\]

We now present Theorem 2, based on the results of [7, 11] papers, which summarizes our considerations and will be further used in the formulation of the algorithm for solving Problem A.

**Theorem 2.** Components of a characteristic vector function \( \lambda_i(x) = (\lambda_{i1}(x), \ldots, \lambda_{iN}(x)) \) that
matches the optimal solution \((\Omega_{\cdot1}, \ldots, \Omega_{\cdoti}, \ldots, \Omega_{\cdotN})\) of the
Problem A for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) and almost all \( x \in \Omega \) are as follows:

\[
\lambda_{i_j}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } c(x, \tau_{i_j}) / w_j \leq c(x, \tau_{i_j}) / w_j, \\ i \neq j \text{ a.e. for } x \in \Omega, j = 1, \ldots, N, \text{ then } x \in \Omega_{i}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

the optimal solution of the problem is chosen as \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N \)

\[
G(\tau) = \int_\Omega \min_{i=1, \ldots, N} \left[ c(x, \tau_i) / w_i \right] dx \rightarrow \min_{\tau \in \Omega^N}.
\]

**Problem A solution algorithm.** To describe the
algorithm, we define \( i \)-component, \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), being
the component of the generalized gradient vector of 
\[ g^i_0(\tau) = \left( g^1_0(\tau), \ldots, g^N_0(\tau) \right) \] 
functions of \( \tau \) at \( \tau \) point as follows:
\[ g^i_0(\tau) = \int_{\Omega} g^i_c(x; \tau) \lambda_i(x) dx, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \] (14)
where \( g^i_c(x, \tau) \) - \( i \)-component of \( N \)-dimensional vector of generalized gradient \( g^i_c(x, \tau) \) of \( c(x, \tau) \) in the formula (14) \( \lambda_i(x), i = 1, \ldots, N, \) is defined as follows:
\[ \lambda_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } c(x, \tau_i) / w_i \leq c(x, \tau_j) / w_j, \\ \text{ in other cases, } \\ \text{en } 1, \ldots, N, \text{ then } x \in \Omega_i, \end{cases} \] (15)
where \( w_i, i = 1, \ldots, N, \) are calculated by formulas (4) - (7).

**Algorithm.** We place \( \Omega \) domain to \( n \)-dimensional parallelepiped \( \Pi \), the sides of which are parallel to the axes of the Cartesian coordinate system. We cover the parallelepiped \( \Pi \) with a rectangular grid and set the initial approximation \( \tau = \tau^{(0)} \). We calculate \( \lambda^{(0)}(x) \) value at the nodes of the grid according to formulas (12), taking into account (4) - (7) formulas for calculating the parameters \( w_i \), \( \tau = \tau^{(0)} \); \( g^i_0(\tau) \) value - by the formula (14) at \( \lambda(x) = \lambda^{(0)}(x), \tau = \tau^{(0)} \); select the initial test step of \( r \)-algorithm \( h_0 > 0 \) and find
\[ \tau^1 = P_{\Pi} \left( \tau^0 - h_0 \frac{H_2 g^i_0(\tau^0)}{Vg^i_0(\tau^0)} \right). \]
\( P_{\Pi} \) is a projection operator on \( \Pi \).
Proceed to the second step.
Let the result of calculations after \( k \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, \) steps of the algorithm be the obtained values of \( \tau^{(k)}, \lambda^{(k+1)}(x) \) in the nodes of the grid. Let us describe \((k+1)\) step.
1. We calculate \( \lambda^{(k+1)}(x) \) value at the nodes of the grid according to formulas (15), taking into account (4) - (7) formulas to calculate the parameters \( w_i \), \( \tau = \tau^{(k)} \).
2. We find \( g^i_0(\tau) \) value by formulas (14) at \( \lambda(x) = \lambda^{(k)}(x), \tau = \tau^{(k)} \).
3. We then take \((k+1)\) step of \( r \)-algorithm in \( N \)-form, an iterative formula of which is as follows
\[ \tau^{k+1} = P_{\Pi} \left( \tau^k - h_k \frac{H_{k+1} g^i_0(\tau^k)}{Vg^i_0(\tau^k)} \right), \] (16)
4. If the condition \( \| \tau^k - \tau^{k+1} \| \leq \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0, \) (16) is not met, we go to \((k+2)\) step of the algorithm, otherwise - to cl. 5.
5. Let \( \lambda_i(x) = \lambda^{(k)}(x), \tau_i = \tau^{(k)}, \) where \( i \) is the iteration number at which condition (16) is satisfied.
6. We calculate the optimal value of the objective function \( G(\tau) \) of (13) by the formula
\[ G(\tau) = \int_{\Omega} \min_{i=1,\ldots,N} \left[ c(x, \tau_i) / w_i \right] dx, \] at \( \tau = \tau_i \) and \( w_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \) calculated by formulas (14) - (17).

**The described algorithm.**
Thus, as a result of the solution of Problem A by the algorithm described above, which is based on theorem 2 above, we obtain a total of Voronoi polyhedra (3) of generator points \( \tau_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \):
\[ \text{Vor}(\tau_i) = \{ x \in \Omega \subset E_n : c(x, \tau_i) / w_i \leq c(x, \tau_j) / w_j, \quad i \neq j, j = 1, \ldots, N \}, \]
but unlike the standard Voronoi diagram (1), where points \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N \) are fixed and parameters \( w_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \) are clear, in order to find the coordinates of the generator points \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N \), optimally located in \( \Omega \subset E_n \), we need to solve a finite-dimensional optimization problem
\[ G(\tau) = \int_{\Omega} \min_{i=1,\ldots,N} \left[ c(x, \tau_i) / w_i \right] dx \rightarrow \min_{\tau} \tau \in \Omega^N = \Omega \times \ldots \times \Omega_n. \]
with a non-differentiated objective function $G(t)$ and $w_i, i = 1, \ldots, N$ parameters recovered using the neurolinguistic identification method of unknown complex nonlinear relationship.

Summary. The method and algorithm has been suggested for constructing a multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagram involving fuzzy parameters with optimal location of a finite number of generator points in a limited set of $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_n$. The method is based on the formulation of an appropriate continuous problem of optimal set partitioning into non-intersecting subsets, where the centers of these subsets are located involving fuzzy parameters in the objective functional and with the criterion of the partition quality, which provides an appropriate Voronoi diagram with fuzzy parameters. The method of solving the above problem of optimal set partitioning is based on the application of the mathematical apparatus developed in [11], while the method of neurolinguistic identification, developed in [8], was used to eliminate the fuzziness in the OSP problem.
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